

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

**STATE OF IOWA**  
**BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS**  
**Grimes State Office Building – 400 East 14<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147**

**Minutes**

October 11, 2002

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Motions	2
Meeting Called to Order	6
Licensee Discipline	6
Consent Agenda	9
Communication	9
Denial or Revocation of a License upon Proof of Criminal Conviction – Notice	11
Attorney General Prosecution Discussion	12
One-year Conditional License for Third Year of Mentoring – Notice	12
Correction of Licenses – Notice	12
Petitions for Waiver	13 & 14
School Psychologist License Requirements – Notice	13
ESL Follow-up Presentation	14
Administrator License Continued Discussion	15
Suggestions of Pre-filed Bills for 2003 Legislative Session	15
Adjournment	
16	

1                                   **STATE OF IOWA**  
2                                   **BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS**  
3                           **Grimes State Office Building – 400 East 14<sup>th</sup> Street**  
4                                   **Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147**

5  
6                                   **Motions**  
7

8                                   October 11, 2002  
9

10  
11   Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that the Board go  
12   into closed session for the purposes of discussing whether to initiate licensee  
13   disciplinary proceedings and discussing the decision to be rendered in a  
14   contested case, pursuant to Iowa Code sections 21.5(1)(d) and 21.5(1)(f). Roll  
15   call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker –  
16   yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
17   Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**  
18

19   Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to amend the agenda  
20   by adding to item 2.f. the review of investigative cases numbered 02-02 and  
21   02-03. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**  
22

23   Jean Seeland               moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in **case**  
24   **number 01-29**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and  
25   allow the proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless  
26   an appeal is taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll  
27   call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker –  
28   yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
29   Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**  
30

31   William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in **case number**  
32   **01-30**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and allow the  
33   proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless an appeal is  
34   taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll call vote:

1 Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes;  
2 Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes.

3 **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

4  
5 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in **case**  
6 **number 01-37**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and  
7 allow the proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless  
8 an appeal is taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll  
9 call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker –  
10 yes;

11 Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
12 Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

13  
14 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in **case number**  
15  
16 **02-01**, the Board initiate review of the proposed decision to examine whether  
17 the proposed sanction is appropriate in light of the findings. Roll call vote:  
18 Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes;  
19 Aboud – no; Chen – yes; Carter – no; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
20 Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED.**

21  
22 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in **case number**  
23 **01-32**, the Board acknowledge the local settlement submitted by the parties  
24 and the withdrawal of the complaint and dismiss the case without further  
25 proceedings. Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Chen –  
26 yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes;  
27 Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

28  
29 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in **case**  
30 **number**  
31 **02-02**, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of  
32 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established  
33 by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote: Haigh – yes;

- 1 Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen
- 2 – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION**
- 3 **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

1 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in case  
2 number  
3 02-03, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of  
4 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established  
5 by this Board and order this case set for hearing, with a recommendation for  
6 consolidation with 02-02 and limiting the probable cause finding to the  
7 December 6, 2001 incident. Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter  
8 – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes;  
9 Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED**  
10 **UNANIMOUSLY.**

11  
12 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in case number  
13  
14 02-13, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of  
15 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established  
16 by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote: Haigh – yes;  
17 Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes;  
18 Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and Hathaway – yes.  
19 **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

20  
21 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in case number  
22 02-06, the Board extend the 180-day deadline for issuance of the final  
23 decision, based upon delay in investigation due to other pending investigations.  
24 Roll call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes;  
25 Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey –  
26 yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

27  
28 Judy Jeffrey moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to approve the September  
29 6, 2002, minutes, as distributed. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

30  
31 William Haigh moved, with a second by Brian Carter, to file under Notice of  
32 Intended Action, the proposed changes to Chapters 11 and 12, which require

1 denial or revocation of a license upon proof of conviction of certain delineated  
2 criminal offenses, leaving the multiple factor test in place for all other offenses.  
3 Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud –  
4 yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes;  
5 and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

6  
7 Brian Carter moved, with a second by John Aboud, to file under Notice of  
8 Intended Action, the proposed changes to Chapter 14 with regard to the  
9 one-year conditional license. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

10  
11 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to file under Notice of  
12 Intended Action, the proposed changes to Chapter 14 concerning the correction  
13 of licenses. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

14  
15 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Helen Lewis, that in PFW 02-21,  
16 Georgia Ellis, the Board grant the Petition for Waiver. **MOTION CARRIED.**

17  
18 Judy Jeffrey moved, with a second by Helen Lewis, to file under Notice of  
19 Intended Action, the proposed changes to the school psychologist endorsement  
20 in Chapter 14. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

21  
22 John Aboud moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in PFW 02-22,  
23 Robert Haak, the Board deny the Petition for Waiver. Roll call vote: Haigh –  
24 yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes;  
25 Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – no; Jeffrey – no; and Hathaway – yes.  
26 **MOTION CARRIED.**

27  
28 John Aboud moved, with a second by Veronica Stalker, that in PFW 02-23,  
29 Patsy Yusten, the Board grant the Petition for Waiver. **MOTION CARRIED**  
30 **UNANIMOUSLY.**

**STATE OF IOWA**  
**BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS**  
**Grimes State Office Building – 400 East Grand**  
**Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147**

**Minutes**

October 11, 2002

The Board of Educational Examiners held its monthly meeting on

October 11, 2002

. The meeting was called to order by the Board Vice-Chair, Peter Hathaway, at 8:33 a.m. Members attending were John Aboud, Brian Carter, Ying Ying Chen, William Haigh, Peter Hathaway, Judy Jeffrey, Helen Lewis, Thomas Paulsen, Jean Seeland, Veronica Stalker and Anita Westerhaus. Also in attendance were Dr. Anne Kruse, Executive Director of the Board; Christie Scase, Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board; Barbara Hendrickson, Board Secretary; and other visitors. Board Members Veronica Stalker and Anita Westerhaus left at 10:15 and 10:30, respectively, and both returned for the afternoon session.

Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that the Board go into closed session for the purposes of discussing whether to initiate licensee disciplinary proceedings and discussing the decision to be rendered in a contested case, pursuant to Iowa Code sections 21.5(1)(d) and 21.5(1)(f). Roll call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

During the closed session discussion of the proposed decision in case number 01-37, Executive Director Dr. Anne Kruse and Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board Christie Scase absented themselves from the room, and Dr. Kruse remained absent during the closed session discussion of case number 02-01. Dr. Kruse initiated these two complaints, based upon criminal

1 conviction, and she was, therefore, the complainant in both of them. Ms. Scase  
2 presented the evidence at the hearing held on the former case, but she was not  
3 involved in the latter, and she returned to the room at the request of the Board  
4 for discussion of the second case.

5  
6 The Board reconvened into open session at 9:50 a.m.

7  
8 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to amend the agenda  
9 by adding to item 2.f. the review of investigative cases numbered 02-02 and  
10 02-03. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

11  
12 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in **case**  
13 **number 01-29**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and  
14 allow the proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless  
15 an appeal is taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll  
16 call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker –  
17 yes;  
18 Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
19 Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

20  
21 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in **case number**  
22 **01-30**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and allow the  
23 proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless an appeal  
24 is taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll call vote:  
25 Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker –  
26 yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway –  
27 yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

28  
29 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in **case**  
30 **number 01-37**, the Board not initiate a review of the proposed decision and  
31 allow the proposed decision to become the final decision of the Board, unless  
32 an appeal is taken by one of the parties within the time allowed by rule. Roll



1 call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker –  
2 yes;

3 Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and  
4 Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

5 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in case number  
6

7 **02-01**, the Board initiate review of the proposed decision to examine whether  
8 the proposed sanction is appropriate in light of the findings. Roll call vote:

9 Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes;

10 Aboud – no; Chen – yes; Carter – no; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and

11 Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED.**

12

13 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in case number

14 **01-32**, the Board acknowledge the local settlement submitted by the parties

15 and the withdrawal of the complaint and dismiss the case without further

16 proceedings. Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Chen –

17 yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes;

18 Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

19

20 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in case

21 number

22 **02-02**, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of

23 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established

24 by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote: Haigh – yes;

25 Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen

26 – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION**

27 **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

28

29 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in case

30 number

31 **02-03**, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of

32 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established

1 by this Board and order this case set for hearing, with a recommendation for  
2 consolidation with 02-02 and limiting the probable cause finding to the  
3 December 6, 2001 incident. Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter  
4 – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes; Westerhaus – yes;  
5 Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED**  
6 **UNANIMOUSLY.**

1 Jean Seeland moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in **case number**  
2  
3 **02-13**, the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or more of  
4 the criteria for professional practices and competent performance established  
5 by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote: Haigh – yes;  
6 Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes;  
7 Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey – yes; and Hathaway – yes.  
8 **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

9  
10 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, that in **case number**  
11 **02-06**, the Board extend the 180-day deadline for issuance of the final  
12 decision, based upon delay in investigation due to other pending investigations.  
13 Roll call vote: Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes;  
14 Stalker – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Jeffrey –  
15 yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

16  
17 Judy Jeffrey moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to approve the September  
18 6, 2002, minutes, as distributed. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

19  
20 Board Member Helen Lewis said that the community colleges were pleased that  
21 the Department of Education rules to guide them in fulfilling the legislation  
22 that eliminates community college staff licensure are set for hearing. The  
23 Department of Education and ISEA were sponsoring a joint meeting of the  
24 administrators and the faculty on the quality faculty plan committee for the  
25 community colleges throughout the state in order to try to establish at least  
26 some sense of consistency in interpreting the legislation and following the  
27 rules. Also, despite the automatic extension of their licenses as a result of the  
28 legislation, a number of faculty on Ms. Lewis' campus were renewing their  
29 licenses because they viewed the license as a symbol of their being  
30 professionals.

31  
32 Board Member Jean Seeland reported that AEA 7 was working on a program of  
33 study for the substitute authorization that the Board had passed earlier in the

1 year. The AEA believed the course of study should be 30 hours, instead of the  
2 minimum 15 hours, and the AEA staff were trying to make it as professional as  
3 possible.

4  
5 Board Member Anita Westerhaus updated the Board on the two recent contract  
6 committee meetings. Members looked at other states' window of time for  
7 extending contracts and at their penalties for breach of contract. The  
8 committee talked about the history of the Board's dealing with breach of  
9 contract and sanctions, noting that the word "habitual" had been taken out of  
10 statute. Administrators provided input from their experience on the number of  
11 contracts broken and the time of year. The committee shifted its goal from a  
12 pre-filed bill to administrative rules. Board Vice-Chair Peter Hathaway  
13 observed that the committee was looking for a solution to a contentious and  
14 sometimes divisive issue, and he was impressed with the committee members'  
15 professionalism. The group agreed that "a contract is a contract," and in  
16 examining breach of contract, the committee determined that sanctions were  
17 appropriate for both administrators' "enticing" and teachers' "jumping." Mr.  
18 Hathaway clarified with Ms. Westerhaus that "enticing" specifically meant  
19 offering a contract to someone who was currently under contract. Drafts of  
20 administrative rules on the problem will be forthcoming.

21  
22 Board Member Ying Ying Chen mentioned a research article in which the  
23 author listed four options which were presented to those surveyed, polling  
24 which would really improve schools: standards and tests mandated by the  
25 state; a qualified, competent teacher in every classroom; non-promotion and  
26 grade repetition; and a school of choice for all parents. Only one response  
27 indicated the first option; the rest chose a qualified, competent teacher in  
28 every classroom. This confirmed the direction Iowa is taking with the Teacher  
29 Quality Bill.

30  
31 Board Member Judy Jeffrey noted that within the past two years, the Board  
32 passed new licensure requirements for principals based on the Iowa standards,  
33 and the State Board of Education moved ahead with rules for approval of new

1 programs for administration preparation. An external board is reviewing the  
2 proposals coming forward under the new rules. Two organizations have come  
3 forward to submit their programs for approval; other programs have asked for  
4 a year's extension, because program adjustment to a performance-based  
5 licensure approach necessitates significant modification.

6  
7 The Board Vice-Chair asked if there were any public comments. There were  
8 none.

9  
10 The Executive Director referred to a chart of statistics on licenses issued by  
11 type for fiscal years 1998 through 2002. Overall decreases in licensure were  
12 due to compaction of the administrator and evaluator licenses, authorization to  
13 substitute on a regular license, and expansion of rules with regard to special  
14 education and conditional licenses. The next chart ranked the top 30  
15 endorsement areas for two- and three-year conditional licenses issued for fiscal  
16 year 2002, figures the Iowa College Aid Commission uses in determining  
17 shortage areas. The next tally showed all the two- and three-year conditional  
18 licenses issued for the period.

19  
20 The Executive Director then distributed a table of the Iowa Code that compared  
21 changes between fiscal years 2000 and 2001 and between 2001 and 2002 in  
22 the areas for which the Board is responsible.

23  
24 The Board agreed to hold the annual retreat on June 13-14, 2003 and to have  
25 a one-day meeting on July 25, 2003.

26  
27 The Board reviewed proposed changes to Chapters 11 and 12 that were  
28 necessary to implement Senate File 2258. William Haigh moved, with a second  
29 by Brian Carter, to file under Notice of Intended Action, the proposed changes  
30 to Chapters 11 and 12, which require denial or revocation of a license upon  
31 proof of conviction of certain delineated criminal offenses, leaving the multiple  
32 factor test in place for all other offenses. Roll call vote: Jeffrey – yes; Seeland –  
33 yes; Carter – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud – yes; Stalker – yes; Lewis – yes;

1 Westerhaus – yes; Paulsen – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. **MOTION**  
2 **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

3  
4 The Board examined a proposed change to Chapter 11, intended to implement  
5 House File 2482, that assigned the prosecution of all contested case  
6 proceedings to the office of the attorney general, excepting those complaints  
7 that are solely failure to fulfill contractual obligations. The assistant attorney  
8 general would represent the public interest, not the Board or the complainant.  
9 Christie Scase, Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board,  
10 stated that the Board of Educational Examiners is the only licensing board in  
11 Iowa that does not utilize the services of the attorney general's office to  
12 prosecute complaints after probable cause findings. It is also the only teacher  
13 licensing board in the nation that requires complainants to bear the burden  
14 and the cost of prosecuting complaints. The Executive Director agreed to  
15 provide at the next board meeting, from organizations that represent many of  
16 the licensees, any written comments about the proposed rule change.

17  
18 The Board considered proposed changes to Chapter 14 in stipulations for a  
19 one-year conditional license. Removed was the recency requirement of 160  
20 days of teaching within the five-year period prior to application; added was  
21 issuance of a license based upon a one-year extension for the mentoring  
22 program. The clause "who has completed a teacher education program" further  
23 defined a license recipient. Brian Carter moved, with a second by John Aboud,  
24 to file under Notice of Intended Action, the proposed changes to Chapter 14  
25 with regard to the one-year conditional license. **MOTION CARRIED**  
26 **UNANIMOUSLY.**

27  
28 The Board determined changes to the section in Chapter 14 dealing with the  
29 correction of licenses. Notification by a licensee of a typographical or clerical  
30 error on a license within 30 days of mailing would incur no charge for a  
31 corrected license; notification beyond 30 days would incur the fee for a  
32 duplicate license. The addition of an endorsement not originally requested  
33 would require a new application, together with the appropriate application fee.

1 William Haigh moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to file under Notice of  
2 Intended Action, the proposed changes to Chapter 14 concerning the correction  
3 of licenses. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

4  
5 The Board next deliberated a Petition for Waiver from Georgia Ellis. A resident  
6 of California, Ms. Ellis asked that the Board waive the provisions in the board  
7 rules that require a non-academic credit, or staff development, course to be  
8 “approved through guidelines established by the board” in order to qualify as  
9 credit toward renewal of an Iowa standard license. The Board decided that Ms.  
10 Ellis’ University of California extension classes appeared to be substantially  
11 equivalent to Iowa AEA classes that are approved for renewal credit. Three  
12 units of renewal credit were granted for her 45 class contact hours. Jean  
13 Seeland moved, with a second by Helen Lewis, that in PFW 02-21, Georgia  
14 Ellis, the Board grant the Petition for Waiver. **MOTION CARRIED.** Evidence of  
15 completion of three additional units must be submitted in order for Ms. Ellis to  
16 renew her license.

17  
18 The Board recessed for lunch at 12:01 p.m. and reconvened at 12:45  
19 p.m.

20  
21 Licensure Consultant Jane Heinsen introduced Eric Neesen, School Psychology  
22 Consultant with the Department of Education, with answers to questions that  
23 had previously been raised on proposed changes to the school psychologist  
24 endorsement in Chapter 15. The new rules would accept completion of a  
25 program approved by the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP)  
26 or American Psychological Association (APA) or possession of current national  
27 School Psychologist certification by NASP as partial evidence of completion of  
28 an approved program. In addition, a one-year, nonrenewable conditional  
29 license would be available to applicants who have completed all program  
30 requirements except an internship or thesis. Judy Jeffrey moved, with a  
31 second by Helen Lewis, to file under Notice of Intended Action, the proposed

- 1 changes to the school psychologist endorsement in Chapter 14. **MOTION**
- 2 **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**



1 Dr. Carmen Sosa, ESL Consultant with the Department of Education, made a  
2 follow-up presentation to a prior report on the need for ESL teachers in Iowa.  
3 Projections for the future have brightened. Some universities, in collaboration  
4 with the Department of Education, obtained grants to pay for teachers to get an  
5 ESL endorsement. Four AEAs currently have opportunities to provide their  
6 teachers with payment for training, and Dr. Sosa gave statistics on the  
7 available programs. Assistance is available to both professionals and  
8 paraprofessionals.

9  
10 The Board weighed Robert Haak's petition to waive the provisions in the board  
11 rules that require a non-academic credit, or staff development, course to be  
12 "approved through guidelines established by the board" in order to qualify as  
13 credit toward renewal of an Iowa standard license. Transcripts for his two  
14 three-hour professional development courses, from the University of the Pacific  
15 and Loyola Marymount University, revealed that neither was taken for  
16 academic credit, nor were they approved for renewal pursuant to existing board  
17 guidelines. Mr. Haak believed that the courses required more effort than most  
18 AEA local courses and that he could not afford the cost or time commitment to  
19 complete six college credit hours before the expiration of his current license.  
20 The Board believed that before his enrollment, Mr. Haak could have contacted  
21 licensure staff to determine the acceptability of the coursework. As an Iowa  
22 resident, he could have obtained approved renewal credit through AEA  
23 offerings. John Aboud moved, with a second by William Haigh, that **in PFW**  
24 **02-22**, Robert Haak, the Board deny the Petition for Waiver. Roll call vote:  
25 Haigh – yes; Paulsen – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Lewis – yes; Stalker – yes; Aboud  
26 – yes; Chen – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – no; Jeffrey – no; and Hathaway – yes.  
27 **MOTION CARRIED.**

28  
29 Patsy Yusten desired a waiver from the stipulation that required her to have  
30 completed a secondary speech methods course in order to add a speech  
31 endorsement. The Board decided that her inability to document the relevant  
32 independent study course she said she completed at Kirkwood Community  
33 College in 1997 was caused by circumstances beyond her control, and

1 alternatives to completion of another speech methods course would entail a  
2 significant burden. Moreover, she had completed the respective methods  
3 courses needed when she obtained her English and journalism endorsements.  
4 John Aboud moved, with a second by Veronica Stalker, that **in PFW 02-23**,  
5 Patsy Yusten, the Board grant the Petition for Waiver. **MOTION CARRIED**  
6 **UNANIMOUSLY.**

7  
8 Licensure Consultant Susan Fischer, since the October board meeting,  
9 obtained from administrative preparation program staff input regarding  
10 possible changes in administrative licensure. Program staff could easily see a  
11 PK-12 principal endorsement program with minor modifications. Many of the  
12 competencies are the same for both elementary and secondary principals.  
13 Program staff did not foresee, however, that everything currently required in a  
14 superintendent program should now be in a principal's program. They already  
15 felt overextended with all of the requirements of the administrative standards.  
16 They said that some of the issues, such as finance and special education  
17 administration, were already addressed in an introductory fashion in the  
18 coursework for principals, and further detail is obtained in the superintendent  
19 program. Ms. Fischer recommended pursuing the PK-12 principal  
20 endorsement without changing the requirements of the coursework and the  
21 competencies. She then suggested looking at what the Board would think  
22 would be necessary for the superintendent endorsement. She mentioned just  
23 experience beyond the principal endorsement program or additional  
24 coursework as options. Ms. Fischer addressed the Board's interest in middle  
25 management preparation, i.e., certification for those without full endorsement  
26 in administration to serve in capacities such as dean of students. She said few  
27 states have that type of certification, but staff continues research for potential  
28 requirements.

29  
30 The Executive Director presented five ideas for pre-filed bills: 1) remove  
31 "exceptional child" from statute and put it into rules, for greater flexibility;  
32 2) re-file for all fees for the Board to be given to the Board for operations and  
33 personnel, thus putting function and funding together; 3) change the testing

1 report date from December 1, 2003 to January 15, 2004, for sufficient  
2 preparation time; 4) permit the BoEE to share results of background checks  
3 and founded child abuse reports with LEAs, AEAs and such, eliminating  
4 redundancy in function and cost; and 5) reconfigure the Board, with the now  
5 statutory elimination of the community college faculty member and a proposed  
6 elimination of the director of the Department of Education as chair of the  
7 Board.

8

9 A purchase order went out for the teacher licenses suitable for framing.

10

11 The remaining discussion items on the agenda, practitioners teaching out of  
12 their endorsed area and the possible creation of a student teaching license,  
13 were postponed.

14

15 There being no further business, Board Vice-Chair Peter Hathaway

16 adjourned the meeting at 2:00 p.m.